

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/635,960	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CARTY ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Sai-Ming Chan	<b>Art Unit</b> 2616

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Sai-Ming Chan.

(2) Larry Donovan.

**Date of Interview:** 1 July 2008

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 11:52 am

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claims discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Prior art documents discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner and Mr. Donovan discussed the informality in claim 6. It was agreed to correct the informality in the claim via an applicant Supplemental Amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)